Deeply conserved susceptibility in a multi-host, multi-parasite system

Abstract
Variation in susceptibility is ubiquitous in multi-host, multi-parasite assemblages, and can have profound implications for ecology and evolution in these systems. The extent to which susceptibility to parasites is phylogenetically conserved among hosts can be revealed by analysing diverse regional communities. We screened for haemosporidian parasites in 3983 birds representing 40 families and 523 species, spanning ~4500 m elevation in the tropical Andes. To quantify the influence of host phylogeny on infection status, we applied Bayesian phylogenetic multilevel models that included a suite of environmental, spatial, temporal, life history and ecological predictors. We found evidence of deeply conserved susceptibility across the avian tree; host phylogeny explained substantial variation in infection status, and results were robust to phylogenetic uncertainty. Our study suggests that susceptibility is governed, in part, by conserved, latent aspects of anti-parasite defence. This demonstrates the importance of deep phylogeny for understanding present-day ecological interactions.

INTRODUCTION
Susceptibility to parasites can affect the fitness of individuals, the structure of communities and the evolutionary success of lineages (Hatcher et al. 2006). Therefore, the causes of variation in susceptibility among hosts are of paramount importance to ecology and evolution. Susceptibility, defined broadly as ‘proneness to infection’, depends on an organism’s entire set of defences including avoidance, resistance and tolerance. Host individuals may vary in susceptibility to parasites because of individual differences in exposure or defensive ability (Gaunt 1995; Barrett et al. 2009; Savage et al. 2011; Atkinson et al. 2013). Host species also vary in susceptibility (Power & Mitchell 2004; Searle et al. 2011), and interspecific variation can be explained, in part, by variation in aspects of life history, morphology, environment and behaviour (Scheuerlein & Ricklefs 2004; Garamszegi & Møller 2012; Johnson et al. 2012; Lutz et al. 2015). Additional interspecific variation may be explained by unique ‘species effects’ (e.g. Pulgarin-R et al. 2018; Ricklefs et al. 2018), attributable to uniquely derived species traits, such as molecular genetic aspects of the immune system (Martin et al. 2005; Ellison et al. 2015).

The extent to which susceptibility to parasites shows a conserved pattern of evolution across the host phylogeny has been addressed in few systems (e.g. Drosophila-RNA viruses – Longdon et al. 2011; plant pathogens – Gillett & Parker 2016; amphibian fungal pathogens – Greenberg et al. 2017). If susceptibility is conserved, it would indicate that real-time ecological interactions are partly contingent on deep-time evolutionary history. Parasites can affect host biogeography, macroevolution and community assembly (Holt 1977; van Riper et al. 1986; Hatcher et al. 2006; Bradley et al. 2008), and it follows that conserved susceptibility could constrain phylogenetic community structure and contribute to conserved rates of speciation, extinction or secondary sympatry (Holt & Bonsall 2017). From a broad perspective, parasite clades tend to have phylogenetic limits to their host ranges. For example, haemosporidian parasite genera, the focal system of this study, tend to infect vertebrate hosts in a single class or subclass (Galen et al. 2018). Paired host and parasite clades can form dynamic multi-host, multi-parasite assemblages, with host-parasite linkages proliferating by host-switching (Ricklefs et al. 2004; Doña et al. 2018; Facchini et al. 2018). Host-switching can occur across large phylogenetic gaps within these host and parasite clades (Anderson 2000; Beadell et al. 2011).
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2009; Ricklefs et al. 2014; Suh et al. 2016), indicating deeply conserved compatibility. The key question we address in this paper concerns the extent to which host species exhibit phylogenetically conserved patterns of susceptibility within the multi-host, multi-parasite assemblage of avian haemosporidian parasites.

One possibility is that susceptibility is labile rather than phylogenetically conserved across the extent of multi-host, multi-parasite systems. Under this ‘lability hypothesis’, variation in susceptibility would be entirely attributable to host species, populations and individuals. There are at least four lines of supporting evidence for the lability hypothesis. First, temporal and spatial variation in avian haemosporidian parasite pressure is profound (Bennett & Cameron 1974; Merilä et al. 1995; Svensson-Coelho et al. 2013). Second, aspects of host ecological and behavioural niches tend to evolve quickly (Blomberg et al. 2003; Schreeg et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2017), and these can have substantial effects on exposure to haemosporidian vectors (Garvin & Remsen 1997; Scheuerlein & Ricklefs 2004). Third, simple regulatory or structural genetic changes in the immune system can increase or eliminate susceptibility over short timescales, as suggested by rapid changes in host compatibility over a few generations (Woodworth et al. 2005; Decaestecker et al. 2007), and variation in bird–haemosporidian associations among adjacent island populations (Fallon et al. 2003, 2004, 2005; Ricklefs et al. 2011; Soares et al. 2017). Fourth, simple innate immune changes can occur in parallel between distantly related host lineages, with identical effects on susceptibility. Such a parallel change occurred in the sialic acid pathway of the ancestors of humans and owl monkeys, respectively, causing an ecletic phylogenetic distribution of susceptibility to the haemosporidian parasite, *Plasmodium falciparum* (Martin et al. 2005). In sum, the evidence for short-term and spatial variation in exposure and host defensive ability suggests that we should find no signal of phylogenetically conserved susceptibility across the host phylogeny.

Alternatively, we may expect phylogenetically conserved susceptibility in multi-host, multi-parasite systems either because of conserved host traits or phylogenetic constraints on parasite host range and host-switching. Many host traits that have previously been shown to affect interspecific variation in susceptibility to avian haemosporidian parasites also tend to show phylogenetic signal. These include embryonic development rates (Ricklefs 1992; Ricklefs et al. 2018), diet (Masello et al. 2018), nesting habits (Lutz et al. 2015) and environmental niche characteristics such as habitat and elevation (González et al. 2014). It remains to be adequately tested whether additional variation in susceptibility can be explained by the phylogenetic history of host species, even after taking other causes into account. Such a finding would imply that susceptibility itself is conserved, perhaps due to specific molecular genetic aspects of the host immune system, or other hidden causes. On the other hand, apparent variation in susceptibility among host species could simply be caused by variable numbers of compatible parasites, with higher prevalence expected for host species with richer haemosporidian parasite assemblages (Arriero & Möller 2008).

Infection status of individuals, or prevalence in populations, provides a useful index of susceptibility, particularly for diverse systems comprised mainly of previously unstudied or undescribed host-parasite combinations. Some evidence of phylogenetic signal or taxonomic clade effects on prevalence has been found previously for haemosporidian parasites (Scheuerlein & Ricklefs 2004; Svensson-Coelho et al. 2013; González et al. 2014; Waxman et al. 2014; Lutz et al. 2015; Fecchio et al. 2017b), but no previous analysis has estimated phylogenetic effects while taking other relevant predictors of infection into account. This approach is essential to understanding whether susceptibility is truly conserved or simply appears to be conserved because of shared environments or life history characteristics among related species.

Here, we quantified phylogenetic effects on infection status while taking into account environmental, spatial, temporal, individual and species-level variation that could contribute to infection risk. We surveyed haemosporidians from the tropical Andes and adjacent western Amazon basin where the avifauna is characterised by exceptional species richness, phylogenetic diversity and striking changes in community composition across elevations. Our survey included 40 host families, 523 host species, 3983 host individuals, 1678 haemosporidian infections and 144 localities, spanning ~ 7° of latitude, and ~ 4500 m in elevation. We used phylogenetic mixed models to explicitly estimate the proportion of variance that is attributable to phylogeny and species identity respectively. We found deeply conserved patterns of susceptibility to haemosporidian parasites across the avian tree, demonstrating that deep phylogeny matters to real-time ecological interactions.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Avian sampling and individual specimen data**

We sampled multipart museum specimens that were collected during integrative site inventories carried out in the Andes Mountains and adjacent lowlands (elevation range: 115–4,637 m; Fig. 1a), primarily between 2006 and 2011 (99.6% of samples, see Appendix S1 of Supporting Information), and in accordance with animal care regulations and appropriate permits. Specimens and tissues are housed primarily at the Museum of Southwestern Biology, the Field Museum of Natural History and el Centro de Ornitología y Biodiversidad. Specimen-related data are available on the ARCTOS database (arctosdb.org) and in Table S1. Elevation, latitude, longitude, sex, body mass and date were recorded for each specimen at the time of collection. To account for site variation in climate, we extracted 19 bioclimatic variables describing aspects of temperature and precipitation from the WorldClim database (Hijmans et al. 2005) based on the geographic coordinates for each specimen (Table S1).

**Assigning infection status**

For each bird, we determined infection status for all haemosporidian genera combined (overall infection), and for each genus separately (*Haemoproteus, Plasmodium* and *Leucocytozoon*). We extracted DNA from tissue or blood using
QIAGEN kits, and used nested PCR to amplify 478 bp of cytb, a mitochondrial gene (Hellgren et al. 2004; Waldenström et al. 2004; Galen & Witt 2014). PCR products were visualised on agarose gels to identify infected samples, which were then sequenced in both directions. To identify haemosporidian infections to genus and assign haplotypes, we compared them to the MalAvi database (Bensch et al. 2009).

Species-level ecological and life history traits

For each of the 523 host species, we compiled data for ecological and life history traits thought to influence haemosporidian infection status (Table S2). The predicted effects of these traits on haemosporidian infection have been discussed in detail previously (Scheuerlein & Ricklefs 2004; Svensson-Coelho et al. 2013; Lutz et al. 2015; Fecchio et al. 2017a). We obtained foraging stratum and relative abundance from the reference database published for Neotropical birds (Parker et al. 1996). Foraging stratum was converted to a continuous variable, with higher values indicating higher strata (1 = terrestrial, 9 = aerial). For relative abundance, we classified species into three categories: common (C), fairly common (F) or uncommon/rare (U). The remaining traits (nest type, nest height, plumage dimorphism, sociality, uniparental care, cooperative breeding, lekking and colonial nesting/roosting) were inferred from del Hoyo et al. (2018) and other secondary sources. We categorised nest type as either ‘open’ or ‘closed’ (including cavities, domes or nests in caves), and nest height as either ‘ground’, ‘low’ (≤ 3 m) or ‘high’ (> 3 m). Plumage dimorphism was classified as ‘none’, ‘moderate’ or ‘striking’. We categorised sociality into ‘solitary’ (foraging alone or in pairs), ‘family’ (family groups only), ‘single species’ (larger groups of the same species) or ‘flocking’ (regularly occurring in mixed species flocks). Uniparental care, cooperative breeding, lekking and colonial nesting/roosting were classified as either ‘yes’ or ‘no’. When breeding information was unavailable, we inferred the state of these traits from related species by assigning the most common state from members of the same genus or family if there were no congeners.

Prevalence across the avian tree

We used 100 trees from BirdTree.org, using the backbone tree from Hackett et al. (2008), that represent the range of possible phylogenetic histories among the 523 bird species in our study. Details are described in Jetz et al. (2012). To visualise patterns of infection across the avian tree, we first generated a consensus tree using the ls.consensus() function in the R package phytools v. 0.6-00 (Revell 2012). For each host species, we calculated prevalence as the proportion of birds infected overall and by each haemosporidian genus. We mapped prevalence onto the tree using the contMap() function in phytools, which estimates the maximum likelihood ancestral states of continuous traits at internal nodes and interpolates the states along edges based on Felsenstein (1985). Estimates of prevalence have low accuracy with small sample sizes (Jovani & Tella 2006), therefore we visualised infection patterns across the phylogeny using species for which there were at least 10 samples (135 species from 17 families).

Phylogenetic models with repeated measurements

We used principal component analysis to summarise bioclimatic variables across the 144 unique sample localities. The first two PC axes explained 80.0% of the variation in temperature and precipitation and were included as predictor variables in models. Loadings suggested that axis 1 corresponds to increasing temperature across sites, hereafter called...
'temperature', and axis 2 corresponds to decreasing precipitation, hereafter called 'aridity' (Table S3). To account for temporal variation, we included sampling month on a scale from 1 (January) to 12 (December), where larger values correspond approximately with the early breeding season (see Appendix S2). Continuous variables (temperature, aridity, elevation, latitude, sampling month, body mass and foraging stratum) were standardised to a mean of zero and standard deviation of one. For traits measured for multiple individuals within species, we accounted for multiple measurement effects following de Vilmureuil & Nakagawa (2014). This approach uses within-group centring to separate each predictor into two components, one accounting for between-species variability and the other accounting for within-species variability. We calculated species means (between-species variability) and subtracted the mean value from individual observations (within-species differences) and included both components as predictors in models.

We built phylogenetic generalised linear multilevel models using two different Bayesian statistics packages in R: MCMCglmm (Hadfield 2010) and brms (Bürkner 2017). The packages differ in the core Bayesian algorithms they use and support different model types (reviewed in Mai & Zhang 2018), but both are capable of incorporating phylogenetic information into multilevel models and use Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling to obtain draws from posterior distributions. Additionally, brms can easily interface with the R package loo (Vehtari et al. 2017) to compute different information criteria. For both packages, we modelled individual bird infection status (n = 3983 birds) as a binary response (0 for uninfected, 1 for infected) separately for each of four different outcomes: the presence of overall haemosporidian infection, and infection for each of the three genera (Haemoproteus, Plasmodium and Leucocytozoon). For each model, predictor variables included the species-level factor predictors as well as the standardised (to a mean of zero and standard deviation of one) species means and within-species predictors for continuous individual-measured traits. These predictors encompass variation related to the environment (temperature, aridity, elevation, latitude), season (sampling month), individual (sex, body mass) or population (relative abundance) characteristics and life history and behaviour (foraging stratum, sociality, nest type, nest height, uniparental care, cooperative breeding, plumage dimorphism, lekking and colonial nesting/roosting).

For each response, we compared 10 models: (1) an intercept-only null model, (2) an intercept-only model with both species and phylogenetic random effects, (3) a model with all predictors and no random effects, (4) a model with all predictors and only a species random effect, (5) a model with all predictors and only a phylogenetic random effect, (6) a model with all predictors and both phylogenetic and species random effects, (7) a reduced-predictor model with no random effects, (8) a reduced model with only a species random effect, (9) a reduced model with only a phylogenetic random effect and (10) a reduced model with both phylogenetic and species random effects. The reduced models included only the predictors found to be important, that is, 95% credible intervals (CI) non-overlapping with zero, in the full models. The predictors retained in the reduced models differed for each response, as reported in Results.

In MCMCglmm, we used the MCMCglmm() function with a ‘categorical’ family and ran the model across four chains for 200 000 iterations with a burn-in period of 100 000, thinned every 100 steps. We used default priors for the fixed effects, with priors of $V = 1, v = 0.02$ for both residual and random effect variances. In brms, we ran models using the brm() function with the ‘Bernoulli’ family and default priors. We ran four chains for 20 000 iterations with a burn-in period of 10 000, thinned every 10 steps, for a total of 4000 samples. To assess convergence, we visually examined traceplots and checked diagnostics (Appendix S2). In brms, we compared models using the widely applicable information criterion (WAIC, Watanabe 2010) values as well as approximate leave-one-out cross-validation information criterion based on the posterior likelihoods (LOOIC, Vehtari et al. 2017). We estimated fixed effects (means and 95% CI) from the posterior distributions for each predictor. To assess whether the spatial, temporal and phylogenetic dependence structures in our data were adequately modelled, we examined the Pearson residuals from brms (Appendix S2).

**Phylogenetic signal estimates**

Phylogenetic signal, or lambda ($\lambda$), was estimated from the models as the phylogenetic heritability described by Lynch (1991). Similar to heritability in quantitative genetics, phylogenetic signal can be estimated as the proportion of the total variance attributed to the phylogenetic variance. We estimated phylogenetic signal using the full and reduced models for infection overall and for each haemosporidian genus. We also estimated the proportion of the total variance attributed to host species, which accounts for unique aspects of the susceptibility of species that are not captured by the modelled species traits, or individual or environmental characteristics. In MCMCglmm, the mean and the 95% highest posterior density (HPD) of $\lambda$ were calculated for each MCMC chain by dividing the phylogenetic variance-covariance (VCV) matrix by the sum of the phylogenetic, species and residual VCV matrices (Hadfield & Nakagawa 2010). In brms, phylogenetic signal was computed following the vignette and recommendations of P. Bürkner (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/brms/vignettes/brms_phylogenetics.html), using the ‘hypothesis’ method and substituting $\pi^2/3$ for the residual variance. We assessed the effect of phylogenetic uncertainty on our models and estimates by running brms models with 100 alternative trees (Appendix S2).

**Parasite diversity and infection status**

One possible explanation for variation in susceptibility is variation in the diversity of compatible parasites; hosts that can harbour more parasite species have been found to have higher prevalence (Arriero & Møller 2008). We tested diversity as a predictor of infection using brms and by estimating haemosporidian haplotype diversity for each host species. To estimate parasite diversity independent of host sample size and prevalence, we pruned the dataset to include only host
species with at least five sequenced infections. We used the rarefy() function in the vegan 2.5-2 R package to produce a rarefied haplotype diversity index for each host species. This predictor was standardised to a mean of zero and standard deviation of one and included in the brms and MCMCglmm models with the reduced host species dataset, using the same model structure and settings as the full models described above.

RESULTS

Infection status summary

We detected 1554 infected birds (39.0%), including 829 birds infected with Haemoproteus (20.8%), 355 with Plasmodium (8.9%) and 494 with Leucocytozoa (12.4%). Haemosporidian prevalence varied across the avian phylogeny (Figs 1b and 2). Avian families with the highest prevalence (> 50% of birds infected) included Columbidae and the oscine Passerine families Icteridae, Cardinalidae, Passerellidae, Turdidae and Thraupidae. We found higher prevalence in oscines compared to suboscines, and in certain hummingbird clades (brilliants and coquettes) compared to others (emeralds and hermits) (Fig. 2; Fig. S1; see Appendix S2).

Predictors of haemosporidian infection

Models that included phylogenetic and species random effects fit substantially better than models with no random effects (Table 1). The reduced-predictor models with both species and phylogenetic random effects had the lowest (best) WAIC and LOOIC scores for overall infection, Haemoproteus and Leucocytozoa. For Plasmodium, the reduced-predictor model with only a phylogenetic random effect had the lowest scores. We sought to quantify the proportions of variation attributed to phylogeny and species, respectively; thus, we report the results from the reduced-predictor models including both random effects for all responses.

Several predictors (foraging stratum, uniparental care, cooperative breeding, plumage dimorphism, lekking and sociality) were unimportant for any of the responses (i.e. the 95% CI overlapped with 0) and were removed to construct the reduced models. Parameter estimates from MCMCglmm and brms were highly consistent (Fig. 3, Fig. S2–S5), and the importance of predictors was robust to phylogenetic uncertainty (Table S5).

Aspects of climate, elevation and latitude were important for overall infection and for each haemosporidian genus. Overall infection probability increased with increasing temperature, aridity, elevation and sampling month (Fig. 3a). Host species that were less abundant (fairly common or uncommon) tended to be less infected than common species. Different species-level predictors were considered important for susceptibility to each haemosporidian genus (Fig. 3).

Haemoproteus infection increased slightly with latitude and sampling month and was lower for uncommon host species compared to common species (Fig. 3b). Species with open nests tended to have higher Haemoproteus infection compared to species with closed nests.

Plasmodium infection increased with temperature, aridity and within-species body mass (Fig. 3c). Male hosts had higher Plasmodium infection compared to females, and species with lower abundances (fairly common or uncommon) tended to be less infected than common species.

Leucocytozoa infection increased with increasing temperature and elevation respectively (Fig. 3d). Leucocytozoa infection was lower for males than females, higher for species with low nests (< 3 m) than those with ground nests and lower for colonial species than non-colonial species.

Phylogenetic signal in infection

Phylogenetic signal was important for all models; 95% CI of phylogenetic random effects did not overlap with 0 (Fig. 3). The proportions of total variance attributed to phylogeny and species, respectively, were consistent between full and reduced models for both MCMCglmm and brms (Table 2, Fig. 3). For the reduced brms models shown in Fig. 3, phylogenetic signal in overall infection was 0.17 [95% CI 0.06–0.33]. Phylogenetic signal was lower for Haemoproteus (0.13; [95% CI 0.03–0.28]) and Leucocytozoa (0.12; [0.03–0.3]), and highest for Plasmodium (0.35; [0.11–0.61]). For Haemoproteus and Leucocytozoa, the variable attributed to host species was larger than the variance attributed to phylogeny (Fig. 3). Our phylogenetic signal estimates were consistent under alternative, plausible phylogenetic hypotheses, indicating that the results are robust to phylogenetic uncertainty (Fig. S6).

Parasite diversity and infection status

Of the 367 infected species, 112 included five or more sequenced infections (mean = 11, max = 49 infections). Within host species, rarefied haplotype diversity ranged from one to five (mean = 3.98, SD = 0.93). Model results indicated that parasite diversity was not an important predictor of overall infection status (Fig. S7).

DISCUSSION

Variation in susceptibility among host species is a common feature of multi-host, multi-parasite systems, but the importance of phylogeny, while taking other predictors into account, has rarely been addressed. We found that host phylogeny explains substantial variation in haemosporidian infection status, indicating that susceptibility is conserved on the timescale of avian diversification. Our statistical approach accounted for a suite of life history, behavioural, temporal and environmental effects that are demonstrated drivers of infection. The results were consistent between two Bayesian modelling methods and with reduced sets of predictors. Phylogenetic and species effects were important for all parasite genera, but differed in magnitude of effect. Phylogenetically conserved susceptibility should affect many aspects of the evolutionary dynamics of multi-host, multi-parasite systems, including biogeography, ecoclimatic niches, diversification rates and host-switching patterns.

Phylogenetic conservatism of susceptibility was evident at remarkably deep levels within the avian tree. Most notably,
Figure 2 Haemosporidian infection across the avian phylogeny. The proportion of individuals infected for each well-sampled host species (≥ 10 individuals) was mapped as a continuous trait using the contMap() function in phytools (Revell 2012). The tree is a least-squares consensus of 100 phylogeny subsets from BirdTree.org.
oscine songbirds exhibited substantially higher infection prevalence than their sister clade, the suboscines (Figs 1 and 2). These two clades account for most of the diversity on the ‘bird continent’, and are known to differ in fundamental ways, including sound production mechanisms, song learning, pigmentation and metabolic rate (Kroodsma 1983; Swanson & Bozinovic 2011). This study confirms that they also differ with respect to susceptibility to haemosporidian parasites, with suboscines being consistently less infected. Lower prevalence in suboscines has been pointed out previously (Ricklefs 1992), and is now confirmed with appropriate phylogenetic models.

Some environmental characteristics clearly influence infection status, while others do not. For example, overall infection tended to increase with increasing temperature and aridity, and Leucocytozoon infection increased substantially at higher elevations. This finding is consistent with previous studies demonstrating different elevational patterns of infection among haemosporidian genera, possibly caused by elevational variation in vector abundance and exposure rate (van Rooyen et al. 2013; González et al. 2014; Harrigan et al. 2014).

Life history and ecological factors also explain some variation in infection among species. For example, Haemoproteus infection was higher for species with open nests compared to closed nests, and Leucocytozoon infection was higher for species with midstorey nest heights compared to ground nesters. The results from previous studies that have addressed these factors were mixed (Svensson-Coelho et al. 2013; Lutz et al. 2015; Fecchio et al. 2017a), with effects typically attributed to vector ecology. Vector feeding preferences for certain host species affects susceptibility, at least within depauperate communities (Medeiros et al. 2015); nevertheless, compatibility of haemosporidian parasites with host species immune systems remains an overarching determinant of host susceptibility (Medeiros et al. 2013).

Phylogenetic variation in susceptibility rises above the variation explained by environmental and species traits, including a suite of traits that should explain variation in exposure. This is remarkable for at least two reasons. First, an evolutionarily labile feature such as an ecological interaction that fluctuates in real time would not be expected to remain predictable on the basis of distant phylogenetic affinities. Second, several of the environmental and life history traits that explain some variation in susceptibility are themselves subject to phylogenetic signal; it is striking that there is additional phylogenetic signal even after these conserved predictors are included in the models. The conserved evolution of infection status is a distinct and interesting aspect of phylogenetic niche conservatism (Wiens et al. 2010), wherein ecological interactions are sustained long term during divergence of related lineages.

The causes of deep phylogenetic conservatism of susceptibility are most likely molecular genetic aspects of the innate immune system that are also phylogenetically conserved, with specificity that is lost gradually over evolutionary time (Schulze-Lefert & Panstruga 2011). Many innate immune factors are deeply conserved and subject to strong purifying selection (Malo et al. 1994; Hückelhoven et al. 2013), but disease resistance can also evolve through single substitutions in these factors, often accompanied by negative pleiotropy (Aidoo et al. 2002; Carter & Nguyen 2011). The latter mechanism could explain the species random effects demonstrated by our analyses. The basis of species-specificity in malaria parasites can be as simple as a single, large effect mutation, such as the single change to the CMAH gene in the ancestor of Homo sapiens that became the basis for host specificity in Plasmodium falciparum and related P. reichenowi in chimps (Martin et al. 2005).

The closest precedent for our finding is that of Longdon et al. (2011), who found phylogenetic signal in viral susceptibility among Drosophila species that were experimentally infected with sigma viruses. In that case, susceptibility of host species to each of the three sigma viruses tested was correlated, indicating that it resulted from variation in the generalised immune response. In our study, prevalence for the three haemosporidian genera within host families and host species was largely uncorrelated (Fig. S8). This lack of correlation

---

**Table 1** Comparison of brms models with species, phylogenetic (phylo), both or no random effects. Model fit was assessed using the widely applicable information criterion (WAIC) and leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOIC). WAIC results were consistent with LOOIC. The difference between each model and the best fit model (lowest LOOIC) is shown as ΔLOOIC with the standard error (SE). Reduced models include the set of predictors that were considered important in the full models (95% CI non-overlapping with 0) for each response, that is, a different set of predictors is included in each of the reduced models. For all responses, models including species and phylogenetic random effects fit substantially better than models without random effects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model description</th>
<th>predictors</th>
<th>random effects</th>
<th>response</th>
<th>Haemoproteus</th>
<th>Plasmodium</th>
<th>Leucocytozoon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall infected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LOOIC</td>
<td>ΔLOOIC (SE)</td>
<td>ΔLOOIC (SE)</td>
<td>ΔLOOIC (SE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>521.3 (45.3)</td>
<td>507.9 (46.4)</td>
<td>252.2 (34.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>454.8 (41.1)</td>
<td>374.3 (38.4)</td>
<td>168.4 (27.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>335.3 (37)</td>
<td>309.1 (37)</td>
<td>155.4 (26.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>36.1 (12.1)</td>
<td>10.3 (7.1)</td>
<td>19.5 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phylo</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>33.5 (12.3)</td>
<td>8.9 (10.9)</td>
<td>33.2 (14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22 (12.6)</td>
<td>14.3 (10.1)</td>
<td>28.6 (14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22 (12.7)</td>
<td>32.5 (15.2)</td>
<td>13 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.2 (10.2)</td>
<td>32.4 (11.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>10.2 (7.7)</td>
<td>6.4 (9.6)</td>
<td>18.4 (9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5 (4.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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may be a result of specialised ecoclimatic niches of haemosporidian genera and host lineages, respectively, causing general susceptibility to manifest differently in different environments. We found that phylogenetic signal in susceptibility was strongest in *Plasmodium*, the most host-generalised of the three genera (Valkiūnas 2005). Accordingly, we suggest that our results, like those of Longdon et al. (2011), are consistent with variation in the generalised immune response.

The success of a parasite depends on the interaction between host resistance traits and parasite counter-adaptations. Classical defence theory holds that faster growth of the host will confer lower resistance to parasites (García-Guzmán & Heil 2014). Increased resistance could thus be explained by slower host-development time, as has been suggested in grass-virus (Cronin et al. 2014), amphibian-trematode (Johnson et al. 2012) and bird-haemosporidian systems (Ricklefs 1992;...
Phylogenetic conservatism of species interactions could have implications for evolutionary fates and net diversification rates of clades. The results of this study underscore how deep evolutionary history is relevant to real-time ecological interactions, suggesting there may be constraints on immune system innovation that cause long-term conservatism of susceptibility. However, the implications for diversification are not simple; we found no link between prevalence and host-clade size at the family level (Fig. S9). Phylogenetic variation in susceptibility implies that changes in disease pressure are likely to affect the phylogenetic structure of communities (and vice versa) and could potentially maintain phylogenetic alpha- and beta-diversity (Barrett et al. 2009). Alpha-diversity could be enhanced via Janzen–Connell type dynamics (Terborgh 2012; Gilbert & Parker 2016), in which density of conspecific or close relatives in a host community may inflate prevalence; but we found no evidence for an effect of parasite diversification on infection status (Fig. S7). Third, abundance of close relatives in a host community may inflate prevalence (Parker et al. 2015; Ellis et al. 2017) in a way that is conserved across the host phylogeny; however, the lack of a relationship between susceptibility and species diversity of host families in the Peru avifauna suggests this cannot explain our results (Fig. S9). In these ways, our data suggest that phylogenetic signal in susceptibility is not explained by conserved host ranges in this system. This is consistent with the observation that the community of haemosporidian lineages in any given host species or family tends to be drawn from across the haemosporidian phylogeny (Fig. 1b), and generalist parasites with eclectic host ranges are frequent (Hellgren et al. 2009; Loiseau et al. 2012; Svensson-Coelho et al. 2013).

Phylogenetic conservatism of species interactions could have implications for evolutionary fates and net diversification rates of clades. The results of this study underscore how deep evolutionary history is relevant to real-time ecological interactions, suggesting there may be constraints on immune system innovation that cause long-term conservatism of susceptibility. However, the implications for diversification are not simple; we found no link between prevalence and host-clade size at the family level (Fig. S9). Phylogenetic variation in susceptibility implies that changes in disease pressure are likely to affect the phylogenetic structure of communities (and vice versa) and could potentially maintain phylogenetic alpha- and beta-diversity (Barrett et al. 2009). Alpha-diversity could be enhanced via Janzen–Connell type dynamics (Terborgh 2012; Gilbert & Parker 2016), in which density of conspecific or related hosts is regulated by shared susceptibility to a parasite. Beta-diversity (and alpha-diversity) could be enhanced by ‘apparent competition’ (Holt 1977; Ricklefs 2010), in which species are differentially susceptible to a shared generalist parasite. The fact that some variation in susceptibility is conserved on a scale of tens of millions of years suggests that these same ecological mechanisms maintain deep phylogenetic diversity of hosts, a long-celebrated characteristic of the South American avifauna.
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